

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
CHARLOTTE DIVISION  
3:14-CR-00017-RJC-DCK  
3:15-CR-00302-RJC-DCK

USA

v.

ANTHONY WOODS

---

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

ORDER

**THIS MATTER** is before the Court upon motion of the defendant pro se for a recommendation for early release to community or home confinement. (Case No. 3:14-cr-17, Doc. No. 1116; Case No. 3:15-cr-302, Doc. No. 186).

Title 18, United States Code, Section 3624(c) allows the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) to place a prisoner in pre-release custody under conditions, including community and home confinement, for the last portion of a sentence in preparation for re-entry into the community. The Second Chance Act of 2007, among other things, expanded the allowable time period for pre-release custody from six to twelve months, but limits the time in home confinement to six months. Pub. L. No. 110 199, § 251, 122 Stat. 657, 692-93 (2008). The Act clearly states that it does not alter the BOP's authority to designate the place of the prisoner's imprisonment under 18 U.S.C. § 3621 and prohibits a court from ordering that a sentence be served in a community confinement facility. Id. The First Step Act of 2018, among other things, amended § 3624(c) by adding a sentence directing the BOP to place

qualifying prisoners in home confinement for the maximum amount of time permitted. Pub. L. 115-135, § 602.


In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act became law on March 27, 2020. Pub. L. 116-136, 134 Stat 281. Section 12003(b)(2) of the Act gives the Director of the BOP authority to lengthen the maximum amount of time a prisoner may be placed in home confinement under § 3624(c)(2) during the covered emergency period, if the Attorney General finds that emergency conditions will materially affect the functioning of the BOP. On April 3, 2020, the Attorney General issued a memorandum to the Director of the BOP making that finding and directing the immediate processing of suitable candidates for home confinement. However, nothing in the CARES Act gives the Court a role in determining those candidates.

Here, the defendant seeks a recommendation that the BOP place him in a residential reentry center for the last year of his sentence or a combination of the maximum half-way house and home confinement placement. (Motion at 2). The Court appreciates the defendant's efforts at self-improvement during confinement, but finds the BOP is in the best position to determine the appropriate place of his incarceration.

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that the defendant's motion is **DENIED**.

The Clerk is directed to certify copies of this order to the defendant, counsel for the defendant, to the United States Attorney, the United States Marshals Service, and the United States Probation Office.

Signed: December 10, 2021

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Robert J. Conrad, Jr.", written over a horizontal line.

Robert J. Conrad, Jr.  
United States District Judge

